

3 The Uniquely Colorable r -Uniform Hypergraphs

Definition 3.1 For a mixed hypergraph $\mathcal{H} = (X, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$, the partial mixed subhypergraph $\mathcal{H} = (X, \mathcal{C}, \phi)$, also denoted by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}} = (X, \mathcal{C})$ is called a \mathcal{C} -hypergraph, and the partial mixed subhypergraph $\mathcal{H} = (X, \phi, \mathcal{D})$, also denoted by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}} = (X, \mathcal{D})$ is called a \mathcal{D} -hypergraph.

Definition 3.2 In a mixed hypergraph $\mathcal{H} = (X, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$, an alternating sequence

$$x_0 C_0 x_1 C_1 x_2 \dots x_{t-1} C_{t-1} x_t$$

of distinct vertices, with the possible exception of $x_0 = x_t$, and possibly repeated edges satisfying

$$x_i, x_{i+1} \in C_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, t-1,$$

is called a \mathcal{C} -path connecting the vertices x_0 and x_t .

Definition 3.3 A mixed hypergraph $\mathcal{H} = (X, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is \mathcal{C} -connected if for any pair of its vertices there is a \mathcal{C} -path connecting them.

In the first instance, we discuss an r -uniform \mathcal{C} -hypergraph and construct a uniquely colorable r -uniform \mathcal{C} -hypergraph. Then we discuss an r -uniform \mathcal{D} -hypergraph. Moreover, we construct a uniquely colorable r -uniform \mathcal{D} -hypergraph.

Theorem 3.4 If \mathcal{H} is an r -uniform \mathcal{C} -hypergraph, then

- (1) if $r = 2$ and \mathcal{H} is \mathcal{C} -connected, then \mathcal{H} is u.c.;
- (2) if $r > 2$, then \mathcal{H} is not a uniquely colorable \mathcal{C} -Hypergraph.

Proof.

(1) If \mathcal{H} is \mathcal{C} -connected, then there exists a \mathcal{C} -path connecting for any pair of vertices. Since \mathcal{H} is a 2-uniform \mathcal{C} -hypergraph, $c(x) = c(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Hence, $\chi(\mathcal{H}) = \bar{\chi}(\mathcal{H}) = 1$ and $R(\mathcal{H}) = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Therefore, \mathcal{H} is u.c.

(2) Let

$$c_1(x) = 1, \quad x \in X.$$

Then c_1 is a strict coloring of all \mathcal{C} -hypergraphs.

$$c_2(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \neq x_n, \\ 2 & \text{if } x = x_n. \end{cases}$$

Then $c_2 = X_1 \cup X_2$, where $X_1 = X - \{x_n\}$ and $X_2 = \{x_n\}$. There exist $x, y \in C$ such that $c_2(x) = c_2(y) = 1$ for all $C \in \mathcal{C}$. Hence, any \mathcal{C} -edge is colorable by c_2 . Therefore, c_1 and c_2 are strict colorings of \mathcal{H} . Hence, \mathcal{H} is not u.c. □

Algorithm 3.5 Let $\mathcal{C} = \{C_i | i = 1, 2, \dots, (n-1)\}$ where $C_i = \{x_i, x_{i+1}\}$. Then $\mathcal{H} = (X, \mathcal{C})$ is a u.c 2-uniform \mathcal{C} -hypergraph.

Since $\mathcal{C} = \{C_i | i = 1, 2, \dots, (n-1)\}$ where $C_i = \{x_i, x_{i+1}\}$, then \mathcal{H} is \mathcal{C} -connected. By Theorem 3.4, \mathcal{H} is a u.c 2-uniform \mathcal{C} -hypergraph.

Theorem 3.6 If \mathcal{H} is a 2-uniform \mathcal{C} -hypergraph with $|\mathcal{C}| < (n-1)$, then \mathcal{H} is not u.c.

Proof.

Since $|\mathcal{C}| < (n-1)$ and $|X| = n$, then there exist at least two components. We assume \mathcal{H} has k components for $k \geq 2$. We collect vertices from the j^{th} component, denoted by X_j . Let

$$c_1(x) = 1 \quad , x \in X;$$

$$c_2(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \notin X_k, \\ 2 & \text{if } x \in X_k. \end{cases}$$

Then $c_2 = X'_1 \cup X'_2$ where $X'_1 = X - X_k$ and $X'_2 = X_k$. There exists a j such that $C \subseteq X_j$ for any \mathcal{C} -edge. If $j = k$, then $C \subseteq X'_2$. Otherwise $C \subseteq X'_1$. Therefore, c_1 and c_2 are strict colorings of \mathcal{H} . Hence, \mathcal{H} is u.c. □

Corollary 3.7 If \mathcal{H} is a u.c 2-uniform \mathcal{C} -hypergraph, then \mathcal{H} has at least $n-1$ \mathcal{C} -edges.

Theorem 3.8 If \mathcal{H} is an r -uniform \mathcal{D} -hypergraph, then

- (1) if $r = 2$ and $\mathcal{D} = \binom{X}{2}$, then \mathcal{H} is u.c;
- (2) if $r > 2$, \mathcal{H} is not a uniquely colorable \mathcal{D} -Hypergraph.

Proof.

(1) A 2-uniform \mathcal{D} -hypergraph \mathcal{H} is a simple graph. And a u.c simple graph necessarily has $\binom{n}{2}$ edges. Hence, \mathcal{H} is u.c if $\mathcal{D} = \binom{X}{2}$.

(2) Let

$$c_1(x_i) = i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Then c_1 is a strict coloring of all \mathcal{D} -hypergraphs. Let

$$c_2(x_i) = \begin{cases} i & \text{if } i \neq n, \\ n-1 & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases}$$

Then $c_2 = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup \dots \cup X_{n-1}$ where $X_i = \{x_i\}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, (n-2)$, and $X_{n-1} = \{x_{n-1}, x_n\}$. There exist $x, y \in D$ such that $c(x) \neq c(y)$ for any \mathcal{D} -edge. Therefore, c_1 and c_2 are strict colorings of \mathcal{H} . Hence, \mathcal{H} is not u.c. □

We have the following Algorithm to show the construction of a u.c 2-uniform \mathcal{D} -hypergraph.

Algorithm 3.9 Let $\mathcal{D} = \binom{X}{2}$. Then $\mathcal{H} = (X, \mathcal{D})$ is a u.c 2-uniform \mathcal{D} -hypergraph.

Corollary 3.10 If \mathcal{H} is a u.c 2-uniform \mathcal{D} -hypergraphs, then \mathcal{H} has at least $\binom{X}{2}$ \mathcal{D} -edges.