

# A NOTE ON THE REVISED SIMPLEX METHOD FOR SOLVING A MATRIX GAME

Hwai-Nien Yang

*Professor*

*Department of International Trade*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the game of matching pennies, player row (R) chooses “heads” (H) or “tails” (T). Player column (C), not knowing player R’s choice, also chooses (H) or (T). If what they choose is alike, player C wins one dollar from player R; otherwise, player R wins one dollar from player C. Obviously, each player has two strategies “H” and “T”, and the payoff of this game is in form as follows:

		Player C		
		H	T	
Player R	H	(-1, 1)	(1, -1)	(1,1)
	T	(1, -1)	(-1, 1)	

where the sum of each ordered pair is zero, called zero-sum game. Hence, there are one payoff matrix A to player R and another payoff matrix B to player C,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (1, 2)$$

For the zero-sum case,  $A = -B$  (1, p. 352), anything won by one player is lost by another and the payoff matrix A, called a matrix game, can be used to explain player C’s winnings as negative and losses as positive payoff. If the sum of at least one ordered pair (1,1) is not zero, called the nonzerosum case, the two payoff matrices A and B are independent.

Consider a game (two-person, player R and player C, and zero-sum with a finite number of strategies) with an m by n payoff matrix  $A = (a_{ij})_{(m, n)}$ . Suppose player R chooses the ith strategy or ith row of A and player C the jth strategy or jth column of A, player C is to pay player R an amount  $a_{ij}$ . Since this game is zero-sum, the payoff to player C is  $(-a_{ij})$ . Player R is trying to make  $a_{ij}$

as large as possible, hence, called the maximum player, whereas player C on the other hand wants to be  $(-a_{ij})$  as large as possible or  $a_{ij}$  as small as possible, hence, called the minimum player.

To solve a matrix game, it is provided that player will want to maximize his expected minimum winnings and player C to minimize his expected maximum losses. Thus we have the minimax or maximin theorem (2, P. 635). Hence, it is defined in the form as follows:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{Player R} \\
 \left. \begin{array}{l} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ \dots \\ x_i \\ \dots \\ x_m \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{cccc}
 & \text{Player C} & & \\
 & y_1 & y_2 & \dots & y_j & \dots & y_n \\
 a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1j} & \dots & a_{1n} \\
 a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2j} & \dots & a_{2n} \\
 a_{31} & a_{32} & \dots & a_{3j} & \dots & a_{3n} \\
 \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\
 a_{i1} & a_{i2} & \dots & a_{ij} & \dots & a_{in} \\
 \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\
 a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \dots & a_{mj} & \dots & a_{mn}
 \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l}
 \min_j a_{1j} \\
 \min_j a_{2j} \\
 \min_j a_{3j} \\
 \dots \\
 \min_j a_{ij} \\
 \dots \\
 \min_j a_{mj}
 \end{array} \quad \max_i \min_j a_{ij} \quad (1,3)
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
 \max_i a_{i1} & \max_i a_{i2} & \max_i a_{ij} & \max_i a_{in} \\
 \min_j \max_i a_{ij} & \min_j \max_i a_{ij} & \min_j \max_i a_{ij} & \min_j \max_i a_{ij}
 \end{array}$$

Now for any strategy  $i$  which player R may choose, no matter what strategy player C chooses, he can be sure to get the expected minimum payoff,  $\min_j a_{ij}$ . As player is at liberty to choose  $i$ , he will therefore want to maximize his expected minimum winnings that is to get at least.

$$\max_i \min_j a_{ij} \quad (1,4)$$

Similarly, for any strategy  $j$  which player C may choose, no matter what strategy Player R chooses, he can be sure to get the expected minimum payoff,  $\min_i (-a_{ij})$ , or; equivalently, to lose his expected maximum payoff,  $\max_i a_{ij}$ . [ $\min_i (-a_{ij}) = -\max_i a_{ij}$ ] As player C is at liberty to choose  $j$ , he will therefore want to minimize his expected maximum losses or to pay player R at most,

$$\min_j \max_i a_{ij} \quad (1,5)$$

In general, the two quantities (1,4) and (1,5) might be different but would satisfy the following relationship (3, P. 117).

$$\max_i \min_j a_{ij} \leq \min_j \max_i a_{ij} \quad (1,6)$$

Example 1. Suppose the game is such that its payoff matrix  $A = (a_{ij})_{(3,4)}$  is given by

		Player C				
Player R	{	2	3	4	-1	$\min_4 a_{14} = -1$
		-2	5	-3	-1	$\min_3 a_{23} = -3$
		4	1	3	2	$\min_2 a_{32} = 1$
		$\max_3 a_{31} = 4$	$\max_2 a_{22} = 5$	$\max_1 a_{13} = 4$	$\max_4 a_{34} = 2$	

then  $\max_3 \min_2 a_{32} = 1$  and  $\min_4 \max_3 a_{34} = 2$ . In this game, player R can get at least 1 from player C and player C may pay player R at most 2; therefore player R will try to get more than 1 and player C to lose 2, or, equivalently, player R would win his expected payoff between 1 and 2.

If the relationship (1, 6) happens to be an equality:

$$\max_i \min_j a_{ij} = \min_j \max_i a_{ij} = V, \quad (1,7)$$

player R could choose a strategy so as to get at least the common value V and player C employs a strategy to lose at most V. For this case, there are two strategies  $i'$  and  $j'$  for player R and player C such that for all j and i respectively

$$a_{ij'} \leq a_{i'j'} \leq a_{ij}, \quad (1,8)$$

where  $a_{i'j'} = V$  is called the value of the matrix game  $A = [a_{ij}]_{(m,n)}$  to player R from player C. The strategies  $i'$  and  $j'$  are called their optimal strategies (solution to the matrix game) and also named a saddle point ( $i' j'$ ).

Example 2. The game, given by the payoff matrix  $A = [a_{ij}]_{(3,4)}$

		Player C				
Player R	{	2	-2	4	-1	$\min_2 a_{12} = -2$
		-2	5	-3	-1	$\min_3 a_{23} = -3$
		4	6	3	2	$\min_4 a_{34} = 2$
		$\max_3 a_{31} = 4$	$\max_3 a_{32} = 6$	$\max_1 a_{13} = 4$	$\max_3 a_{34} = 2$	











$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Player R} \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{Player C} \\ y_1 \quad y_2 \quad y_3 \quad y_4 \\ \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} 2 & 3 & 4 & -1 \\ -2 & 5 & -3 & -1 \\ 4 & 1 & 3 & 2 \end{array} \right] \end{array}$$

From (3, 1), the matrix game can be converted into a problem of linear programming as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Maximize} & f = V \\ \text{Subject to} & -2x_1 + 2x_2 - 4x_3 + V \leq 0 \\ & -3x_1 - 5x_2 - x_3 + V \leq 0 \\ & -4x_1 + 3x_2 - 3x_3 + V \leq 0 \\ & x_1 + x_2 - 2x_3 + V \leq 0 \\ & x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 1 \\ & x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0, x_3 \geq 0 \text{ and } V \text{ unrestricted in sign.} \end{array}$$

After introducing 5 non-negative variables  $u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5$ , to this problem, we obtain

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Maximize} & f = V \\ \text{Subject to} & -2x_1 + 2x_2 - 4x_3 + V + u_1 = 0 \\ & -3x_1 - 5x_2 - x_3 + V + u_2 = 0 \\ & -4x_1 + 3x_2 - 3x_3 + V + u_3 = 0 \\ & x_1 + x_2 - 2x_3 + u_4 = 0 \\ & x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + u_5 = 1 \end{array}$$

and from (3, 6), we have

$$\left( \begin{array}{cccc|ccccc|c} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & V & u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & u_4 & u_5 & \\ -2 & 2 & -4 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -3 & -5 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -4 & 3 & -3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & f \end{array} \right)$$

matrix 1

From example 1, player R can get his expected payoff at least  $a_{32} = 1$ , and it is the minimum payoff in the third row of the game matrix A; therefore the non-basic variable V must be made basic instead of being the basic variable  $u_2$  and the basic variable  $u_5$  made non-basic instead of being the non-basic variable  $x_3$ . According to the matrix (3, 7), the matrix 1 can be adjusted as follows:

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cccc|cccccc}
 x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & V & u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & u_4 & u_5 & \\
 4 & 10 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 3 \\
 -2 & -4 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
 1 & 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 2 \\
 5 & \textcircled{7} & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
 \hline
 2 & 4 \uparrow & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & f-1
 \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{l} 3/10 \\ \\ 1/5 \\ 1/7 \leftarrow \\ \\ \end{array}$$

matrix 2

According to the simplex method, as the non-basic variable  $x_2$  becomes basic instead of being the basic variable  $u_4$  and by using elementary matrix row operations, we have

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cccc|cccccc}
 x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & V & u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & u_4 & u_5 & \\
 -\frac{22}{7} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{3}{7} & 0 & -\frac{10}{7} & \frac{11}{7} & \frac{11}{7} \\
 \frac{6}{7} & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{3}{7} & 0 & \frac{4}{7} & \frac{11}{7} & \frac{11}{7} \\
 -\frac{43}{7} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{3}{7} & 1 & -\frac{10}{7} & \frac{4}{7} & \frac{4}{7} \\
 \frac{5}{7} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{7} & 0 & \frac{1}{7} & \frac{1}{7} & \frac{1}{7} \\
 \frac{2}{7} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{7} & 0 & -\frac{1}{7} & \frac{6}{7} & \frac{6}{7} \\
 \hline
 -\frac{6}{7} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{3}{7} & 0 & -\frac{4}{7} & -\frac{11}{7} & f - \frac{11}{7}
 \end{array} \right]$$

matrix 3

Matrix 3 is terminal because all its elements at the bottom row are non-positive except the  $u_5$  column. It is clear that the optimal solution will be  $f - \frac{11}{7} = 0$  or  $f = \frac{11}{7}$  and the basic feasible solution  $x_2 = \frac{1}{7}$ ,  $x_3 = \frac{6}{7}$  and  $V = \frac{11}{7}$ , and player R therefore will use the optimal mixed strategy with probability vector  $X^T = (x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3) = (0 \ \frac{1}{7} \ \frac{6}{7})$  and get the expected maximum payoff  $V = \frac{11}{7}$ . Due to the duality theorem, player C should be expected to use the optimal mixed strategy with probability vector  $Y^T = (y_1 \ y_2 \ y_3 \ y_4) = -(0 \ \frac{-3}{7} \ 0 \ \frac{-4}{7}) = (0 \ \frac{3}{7} \ 0 \ \frac{4}{7})$  and the game value V is  $\frac{11}{7}$ .

## A Note On The Revised Simplex Method For Solving A Matrix Game

Example 4. Find the optimal strategies for each player, the value of the matrix game  $A = [a_{ij}] (3, 4)$  being as follows:

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Player R} \\ \begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{array} \end{array} \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} y_1 & y_2 & y_3 & y_4 \\ 0 & 2 & -2 & 0 \\ -3 & 0 & 4 & 1 \\ 3 & -4 & 0 & -2 \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{l} -2 \\ -3 \\ -4 \end{array}$$

From (1, 1), we have  $\max_1 \min_3 a_{13} = -2$  and  $\min_4 \max_2 a_{24} = 1$  but from (1, 6), the game value  $V$  is in the interval  $-2 \leq V \leq 1$ .

From (3, 1), we have the problem of linear programming as this:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Maximize} & f = V \\ \text{Subject to} & 3x_2 - 3x_3 + V \leq 0 \\ & -2x_1 + 4x_3 + V \leq 0 \\ & 2x_1 - 4x_2 + V \leq 0 \\ & -x_2 + 2x_3 + V \leq 0 \\ & x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 1 \\ & x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0, x_3 \geq 0, \text{ and } V \text{ unrestricted in sign} \end{array}$$

After introducing 5 non-negative variables,  $u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5$ , to this problem, we are to solve

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Maximize} & f = V \\ \text{Subject to} & 3x_2 - 3x_3 + V + u_1 = 0 \\ & -2x_1 + 4x_3 + V + u_2 = 0 \\ & 2x_1 - 4x_2 + V + u_3 = 0 \\ & -2x_2 + 2x_3 + V + u_4 = 0 \\ & x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + u_5 = 1 \end{array}$$

and from (3, 6), the simplex matrix can be obtained as follows:

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cccc|ccccc|c} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & V & u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & u_4 & u_5 & \\ \hline 0 & 3 & -3 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 4 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -4 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & c & 0 & f \end{array} \right]$$

matrix.1

Player R can get his expected payoff at least  $a_{13} = -2$  as indicated above, and thus, the non-basic variable V must become basic in stead of being  $u_3$ , and the basic variable  $u_5$  must become non-basic instead of being  $x_1$ . According to the matrix (3, 7), we have

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cccc|cccccc|c}
 x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & V & u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & u_4 & u_5 & & \\
 0 & \textcircled{9} & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2/9 \leftarrow \\
 0 & 8 & 8 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 1/2 \\
 0 & -6 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -2 & -2 & \\
 0 & 5 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2/5 \\
 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
 \hline
 0 & 6 \uparrow & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 2 & f+2 & 
 \end{array} \right]$$

matrix 2

From the simplex algorithm, we obtain the following sequence of matrices:

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cccc|cccccc|c}
 x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & V & u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & u_4 & u_5 & & \\
 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{9} & 0 & \frac{1}{9} & 0 & -\frac{1}{9} & 0 & \frac{2}{9} & \frac{2}{9} & 1/4 \\
 0 & 0 & \frac{80}{9} & 0 & -\frac{8}{9} & 1 & -\frac{1}{9} & 0 & \frac{20}{9} & \frac{20}{9} & \\
 0 & 0 & -\frac{8}{3} & 1 & \frac{2}{3} & 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & -\frac{2}{3} & -\frac{2}{3} & \\
 0 & 0 & \textcircled{\frac{41}{9}} & 0 & -\frac{5}{9} & 0 & -\frac{4}{9} & 1 & \frac{8}{9} & \frac{8}{9} & 8/41 \leftarrow \\
 1 & 0 & \frac{10}{9} & 0 & -\frac{1}{9} & 0 & \frac{1}{9} & 0 & \frac{7}{9} & \frac{7}{9} & 7/10 \\
 \hline
 0 & 0 & \frac{8}{3} \uparrow & 0 & -\frac{2}{3} & 0 & -\frac{1}{3} & 0 & \frac{2}{3} & f+\frac{2}{3} & 
 \end{array} \right]$$

matrix 3

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cccc|cccccc|c}
 x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & V & u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & u_4 & u_5 & & \\
 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \frac{4}{41} & 0 & -\frac{5}{41} & \frac{1}{41} & \frac{10}{41} & \frac{10}{41} & \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{8}{41} & 1 & \frac{31}{41} & -\frac{80}{41} & \frac{20}{41} & \frac{20}{41} & \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{14}{41} & 0 & \frac{3}{41} & \frac{24}{41} & -\frac{6}{41} & -\frac{6}{41} & \\
 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -\frac{5}{41} & 0 & -\frac{4}{41} & \frac{9}{41} & \frac{8}{41} & \frac{8}{41} & \\
 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{41} & 0 & -\frac{9}{41} & -\frac{10}{41} & \frac{23}{41} & \frac{23}{41} & \\
 \hline
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{14}{41} & 0 & -\frac{3}{41} & -\frac{24}{41} & -\frac{6}{41} & f+\frac{6}{41} & 
 \end{array} \right]$$

matrix 4

## A Note On The Revised Simplex Method For Solving A Matrix Game

Matrix 4 is terminal because all its elements at the bottom are nonpositive except the  $u_5$  column. It is clear that the optimal solution  $f + \frac{6}{41} = C$  or  $f = \frac{-6}{41}$  and the basic feasible solution  $x_1 = \frac{23}{41}$ ,  $x_2 = \frac{10}{41}$ ,  $x_3 = \frac{8}{41}$  and  $V = \frac{-6}{41}$ . Player R will therefore use the mixed optimal strategy with probability vector  $X^T = (x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3) = (\frac{23}{41} \ \frac{10}{41} \ \frac{8}{41})$  and get the maximum expected payoff  $V = \frac{-6}{41}$  or lose the minimum expected payoff  $\frac{6}{41}$  to player C. Due to the duality theorem, player C will be expected to use the optimal mixed strategy with probability vector  $Y^T = -(\frac{-14}{41} \ 0 \ \frac{-3}{41} \ \frac{-24}{41}) = (\frac{14}{41} \ 0 \ \frac{3}{41} \ \frac{24}{41})$  and the game value  $V$  is  $\frac{-6}{41}$ .

### 4. REMARKS

(1) If the value  $V$  of a matrix game is zero or negative, its problems of linear programming (2, 5) and (2, 6) are not defined. In general, if a matrix game has at least one row with all positive elements, its value  $V$  is positive (7. P. 275). Hence, a positive constant can be added to each element of the matrix game to change it into positive value while each player strategy is not affected by this change though its value  $V$  will certainly be (2, P. 643, and 5, P. 408). From the relationship (1, 6), the value of a matrix game  $A = [a_{ij}]_{(m,n)}$  is in the interval  $\max_j \min_i a_{ij} \leq V \leq \min_j \max_i a_{ij}$  and it is clear that the interval of  $V$  can be modified by the transformation through adding a positive constant,  $k$ , to each one of this interval,  $\max_j \min_i a_{ij} + k \leq V + k \leq \min_j \max_i a_{ij} + k$  to make sure  $V + k$  being in the positive interval.

Example 5. From example 4, the game value  $V$  is in the interval  $-2 \leq V \leq 1$  and it can be changed into  $-2 + 3 \leq V + 3 \leq 1 + 3$  by adding a positive constant 3 to each. Hence, the matrix game  $A = [a_{ij}]_{(3,4)}$  can be changed into the matrix game  $A_1 = [a_{ij} + 3]_{(3,4)}$ .

or

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Player R} \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{Player C} \\ y_1 \quad y_2 \quad y_3 \quad y_4 \\ \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} 3 & 5 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 3 & 7 & 4 \\ 6 & -1 & 3 & 1 \end{array} \right] \end{array}$$

Let  $V' = V + 3$  be the value of the matrix game  $A_1$ ,  $V'$  is in the interval  $1 \leq V' \leq 4$ . From (2, 6), the problem of linear programming can be obtained as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Maximize } & \frac{1}{V'} = q_1 + q_2 + q_3 + q_4 \\
 \text{Subject to } & 3q_1 + 5q_2 + q_3 + 3q_4 \leq 1 \\
 & 3q_2 + 7q_3 + 4q_4 \leq 1 \\
 & 6q_1 - q_2 + 3q_3 + q_4 \leq 1 \\
 & q_1 \geq 0, q_2 \geq 0, q_3 \geq 0, \text{ and } q_4 \geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

By introducing 3 non-negative variables  $v_1 \leq 0$ ,  $v_2 \geq 0$ , and  $v_3 \geq 0$ , the following simplex matrix can be obtained

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cccc|ccc|c}
 q_1 & q_2 & q_3 & q_4 & v_1 & v_2 & v_3 & \\
 3 & 5 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
 0 & 3 & 7 & \textcircled{4} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
 6 & -1 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
 \hline
 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{V'}
 \end{array} \right]$$

matrix 1

The non-basic variable  $q_4$  must first be made basic instead of being the basic variable  $v_2$  because player C wants to minimize his expected maximum payoff to player R at most 4. Hence, the pivot is 4 by using elementary matrix row operations, we have

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cccc|ccc|c}
 q_1 & q_2 & q_3 & q_4 & v_1 & v_2 & v_3 & \\
 \textcircled{3} & \frac{11}{4} & \frac{-17}{4} & 0 & 1 & \frac{-3}{4} & 0 & \frac{1}{4} \\
 0 & \frac{3}{4} & \frac{7}{4} & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} & 0 & \frac{1}{4} \\
 6 & \frac{-7}{4} & \frac{-5}{4} & 0 & 0 & \frac{-1}{4} & 1 & \frac{3}{4} \\
 \hline
 1 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{-3}{4} & 0 & 0 & \frac{-1}{4} & 0 & \frac{1}{V'} - \frac{1}{4}
 \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{l} \\ 1/12 \leftarrow \\ \\ 1/8 \end{array}$$

matrix 2

From the simplex algorithm, we obtain the following sequence of matrices:

A Note On The Revised Simplex Method For Solving A Matrix Game

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cccc|ccc} q_1 & q_2 & q_3 & q_4 & v_1 & v_2 & v_3 \\ 1 & \frac{11}{12} & -\frac{17}{12} & 0 & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{4} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{3}{4} & -\frac{7}{4} & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{29}{4} & \frac{39}{4} & 0 & -2 & \frac{5}{4} & 1 \\ \hline 0 & -\frac{8}{12} & \frac{8}{12} & 0 & -\frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{l} \\ \\ \\ \\ \leftarrow 1/39 \\ \leftarrow 1/7 \end{array}$$

matrix 3

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cccc|ccc} q_1 & q_2 & q_3 & q_4 & v_1 & v_2 & v_3 \\ 1 & \frac{100}{117} & 0 & 0 & \frac{5}{117} & \frac{28}{117} & \frac{17}{117} \\ 0 & \frac{55}{39} & 0 & 1 & \frac{14}{39} & \frac{1}{39} & -\frac{7}{39} \\ 0 & -\frac{29}{39} & 1 & 0 & -\frac{8}{39} & \frac{5}{39} & \frac{4}{39} \\ \hline 0 & -\frac{20}{117} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{23}{117} & -\frac{10}{117} & -\frac{8}{117} \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{l} \\ \\ \\ \\ \leftarrow \frac{1}{V'} - \frac{41}{117} \end{array}$$

matrix 4

Matrix 4 is terminal and the optimal solution  $\frac{1}{V'} - \frac{41}{117} = 0$  or  $V' = \frac{117}{41}$  and the vector  $Q^T = (q_1 \ q_2 \ q_3 \ q_4) = ( \frac{14}{39} \ 0 \ \frac{1}{39} \ \frac{8}{39} )$  and due to the duality theorem the vector  $P^T = (p_1 \ p_2 \ p_3) = - ( \frac{-23}{117} \ \frac{-10}{117} \ \frac{-8}{117} ) = ( \frac{23}{117} \ \frac{10}{117} \ \frac{8}{117} )$ . For the matrix game A, player C has the mixed optimal strategy with the probability vector  $Y^T = (y_1 \ y_2 \ y_3 \ y_4) = V' (q_1 \ q_2 \ q_3 \ q_4) = ( \frac{14}{41} \ 0 \ \frac{3}{41} \ \frac{24}{41} )$ ; player R has the mixed optimal strategy with probability vector  $X^T = (x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3) = V' (p_1 \ p_2 \ p_3) = ( \frac{23}{41} \ \frac{10}{41} \ \frac{8}{41} )$ ; and the game value V is  $V' - 3 = \frac{6}{41}$  which are all being the same solution as indicated in example 4 above.

(2) By using this result to find the optimal solution for a matrix game, the last column and the last row of the simplex matrix (3, 7) can be omitted to simplify the matrix form. This can be done because the last two columns are identical and the last row and the pivot row of V have opposite signs. From example 4, through the last column and the last row are omitted, the algorithmic steps and the optimal solution are not affected. It can be clearly seen that the problems of linear programming (3, 1) and (3, 3) of a matrix game are more efficient than the problems of linear programming (2, 5) and (2, 6).

## 5. REFERENCE

1. Mangasarian, O. L., and Stone, H. "Two-person Nonzero-sum Games and Quadratic Programming," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 9, PP348-355, 1964.
2. Drapper, J. E., and Klingman, J. S. "Mathematical Analysis: Business and Economic Applications," Harper and Row Publishers, Inc., N. Y. 2nd. Edi. PP 691, 1972.
3. Dresher, R. M. "Game of Strategy: Theory and Applications," Prentice Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs N. J. PP 186, 1963.
4. Charnes, A., and Cooper, W. W. "Management Models and Industrial Applications of Linear Programming," Vol. 2, Wiley, N. Y., 1961.
5. Ruce, R. D., and Raiffa, H. "Game and Decisions," John Wiley and Sons, Inc., N. Y., PP 509 1957.
6. Campbell, H. G. "An Introduction to Matrices, Vectors and Linear programming," Prentice Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 2nd. Edi., PP 316, 1977.
7. Thie, P. R. "An Introduction to Linear Programming and Theory," John Wiley and Sons, Inc., PP 335, 1979.